Thickness of marine Holocene sediment in the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic Sea)
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Abstract. We use various geophysical datasets (multibeam and singlebeam echosounder data, sub-bottom profiling Chirp and sonar data and very high-resolution boomer seismic data) along with published sedimentological data and depth data from nautical charts in order to create models of the depth of the seafloor and the base of Holocene marine sediment in the Gulf of Trieste. The two models are later used in order to calculate the thickness of marine Holocene sediment which has been depositing on the Late Pleistocene alluvial plain since the Holocene transgression in the Italian and Slovenian parts of the gulf. Thicker Holocene marine sedimentary sequences averaging at around 5 m are characteristic for the southeastern part of the gulf. In other parts of the gulf the Holocene marine sedimentary cover is very thin or even absent, except in close proximity to the shoreline and fluvial sediment sources, in the area of the Trezza Grande paleodelta and above topographic depressions of the Late Pleistocene base. The presented datasets available from the OGS SNAP data repository (http://doi.org/cpz2) represent a valuable reference for a wide variety of research disciplines dealing with the dynamic Earth system in the Gulf of Trieste and could be used as a valuable tool for designing sampling and geophysical campaigns in the studied area.

1 Introduction

The Gulf of Trieste is a shallow gulf with an average depth at around 20 m located in the northernmost part of the Adriatic Sea where it is surrounded by Italian, Slovenian and Croatian coasts (Fig. 1). After the Last Glacial Maximum with the onset of the Holocene transgression, marine sediment started depositing approximately 10 000 years ago in this area (Marocco, 1991; Ogorelec et al., 1991, 1997; Marocco et al., 1984; Marocco, 1989, 1991; Faganeli et al., 1991; Gordini et al., 2002; Covelli et al., 2006; Ogrinc et al., 2007) and investigations for the Italian Marine Geological Map 1:250 000 of Venice (Trincardi et al., 2011a, b). The development of cost-effective geophysical methods in recent years resulted in a number of geophysical surveys undertaken in the last decade that were focused on high-resolution geophysical investigation of the seafloor and the sub-seafloor geological structure of the gulf (Gordini et al., 2003, 2004, 2006; Gordini, 2007, 2009; Romeo,
Figure 1. Location of the study area; (a) regional map; (b) a simplified geological map of the area (following Biolchi et al., 2016; Busetti et al., 2010a; Carulli et al., 2006; Carulli, 2011; EMODnet, 2018; Jurkovšek et al., 2016; Placer et al., 2010; Placer, 2015; Pleničar et al., 1969). Mesozoic carbonates are marked with green, Eocene flysch with orange and Quaternary sediment with grey.

This work aims to present the first comprehensive model of the distribution and thickness of Holocene marine sediment in the Slovenian and Italian parts of the Gulf of Trieste. The model is derived from geophysical, core/well, and nautical chart data and in parts is complemented by the published Holocene marine thicknesses from Trincardi et al. (2011b).

Geological setting of the study area

A simplified geological overview of the surroundings of the Gulf of Trieste is shown in Fig. 1b and consists of Quaternary alluvial sediment (grey) of the Friuli plain in the north, Cretaceous–Paleogene carbonates (green) of the Classical Karst in the northeast and Paleogene marls and sandstones of the flysch (orange) of the Istria peninsula and Trieste coastline in the southern and eastern parts of the gulf. A similar geological sequence can be observed in geophysical data offshore from the gulf area where the carbonate platform is followed by a flysch succession which is overlain by a few hundred metres of Quaternary sediment deposited during the transgressive–regressive cycles (Busetti et al., 2010a, b; Cimolino et al., 2010; Vrabec et al., 2014). The youngest sedimentary sequence in the Gulf of Trieste is represented by Holocene marine sediment which has been depositing for the last 10 000 years since the onset of the Holocene transgression following the Younger Dryas (Ogorelec et al., 1981, 1997; Lambeck et al., 2004; Covelli et al., 2006; Trincardi et al., 2011b; Zecchin et al., 2015). Many authors suggest that the base on which marine sediment has been depositing represents relict continental-paralic sedimentary environments predating the Holocene sea transgression in the Gulf of Trieste (Ogorelec et al., 1981, 1991, 1997; Marocco, 1989; Lambeck et al., 2004; Covelli et al., 2006; Trincardi et al., 2011b; Slavec, 2012; Zecchin et al., 2015; Trobec, 2015; Trobec et al., 2017). The sediments of the Holocene marine transgression provided a thin and discontinuous deposit occurring on an erosive surface of the Late Pleistocene sediments due to subaerial exposure (Trincardi et al., 2011a).

2 Data used and modelling of the different surfaces

Numerous geophysical surveys were conducted between 2000 and 2015 in the Gulf of Trieste by the Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale (OGS) and by Harpha Sea d.o.o. in cooperation with the Department of Geology of the University of Ljubljana (Figs. 4 and 5, Tables 2 and 3). Most of the data and results from these surveys were so far published only in internal reports and/or theses and are here presented to a wider audience for the first time.

Geophysical data were correlated and calibrated with core/well data previously published in other scientific publications (Table 1 and Figs. 2 and 3; Ogorelec et al., 1981, 1991, 1997; Marocco et al., 1984; Marocco, 1989; Gordini et al., 2002; Covelli et al., 2006; Romeo, 2009; Trincardi et al., 2011b; Zecchin et al., 2015). The cores/wells usually doc-
Table 1. Previously published wells and cores used in this study with reported $^{14}$C ages. The $>$ symbol indicates that the core contains only Holocene marine sediment. The “/” symbol indicates that data were not reported or investigated in the original publication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core/ well</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
<th>Holocene thickness (m)</th>
<th>Dated material</th>
<th>Core depth of dated material (m)</th>
<th>Reported conventional $^{14}$C age (years BP)</th>
<th>Reported calibrated $^{14}$C age (years BP)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Label colour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VE04-06</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>&gt; 3.27</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>2.90–2.91</td>
<td>8530 ± 40</td>
<td>9477–9546</td>
<td>Trincardi et al. (2011a)</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE04-11</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>1.67–1.68</td>
<td>8610 ± 50</td>
<td>9516–9689</td>
<td>Trincardi et al. (2011a)</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE04-14</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>freshwater gastropod shell</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>9630 ± 40</td>
<td>10 784–11 034</td>
<td>Trincardi et al. (2011a)</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE04-15</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>2.67–2.68</td>
<td>8750 ± 55</td>
<td>9549–9920</td>
<td>Trincardi et al. (2011a)</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE04-18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum shell</td>
<td>0.97–0.98</td>
<td>8860 ± 40</td>
<td>9265–9307</td>
<td>Trincardi et al. (2011a)</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE04-23</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Trincardi et al. (2011a)</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE05-06</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>0.82–0.83</td>
<td>48 210 ± 45</td>
<td>9021–9302</td>
<td>Trincardi et al. (2011a)</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Marocco et al. (1984)</td>
<td>blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>beach shells</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2300 ± 105</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Marocco (1989)</td>
<td>blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum shell</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1400 ± 290</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>organic material</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>3660 ± 290</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum shell</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>5540 ± 225</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>20 200 ± 720</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>&gt; 5.43</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Gordini et al. (2002), Zecchin et al. (2015)</td>
<td>orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>0.86–0.88</td>
<td>18 630 ± 60</td>
<td>21 650–22 610</td>
<td>Gordini et al. (2002), Zecchin et al. (2015)</td>
<td>orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Zecchin et al. (2015)</td>
<td>orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>organic material</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>300 ± 110</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Zecchin et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mollusc shells</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>2040 ± 70</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4020 ± 30</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Covelli et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>4170 ± 55</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>7320 ± 40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>9030 ± 70</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>9140 ± 40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Viwiparus contectus shell</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>9610 ± 40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3370 ± 40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Covelli et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3820 ± 50</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>5860 ± 40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>9380 ± 40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>9040 ± 50</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>8270 ± 50</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>8770 ± 40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4560 ± 35</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Covelli et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerastoderma glaucum shell</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>8810 ± 40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sedimentary organic carbon</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>9160 ± 50</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Romeo (2009)</td>
<td>yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Romeo (2009)</td>
<td>yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Romeo (2009)</td>
<td>yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Romeo (2009)</td>
<td>yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AII-7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Ogorelec et al. (1997)</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1-95</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Ogorelec et al. (1997)</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Ogorelec et al. (1991, 1997)</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Ogorelec et al. (1991, 1997)</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>9160 ± 120</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Ogorelec et al. (1981)</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ument a transition from an alluvial to paralic and later marine sedimentary environment. However, in some cores the marine or paralic sedimentary sequence is absent or the marine and paralic sediments are deposited directly on the bedrock (Figs. 2, 3 and 8d).

The calibration of the geophysical data with the stratigraphy of core/well data available for the Gulf of Trieste (Table 1) indicates that the Holocene marine sediment is represented on high-resolution acoustic sonar and seismic boomer profiles as a characteristic transparent acoustic/seismic facies which shows absent or very faint low-amplitude internal reflections (e.g. Fig. 3). On geophysical profiles Holocene paralic and/or Late Pleistocene continental sedimentary units are separated from the Holocene marine sediment by a prominent middle- to high-amplitude reflection and furthermore demonstrate significantly dissimilar acoustic facies containing several reflections of varying reflection geometries, amplitudes and continuity (Romeo, 2009; Slavec, 2011, 2012; Trobec, 2015; Trobec et al., 2017).
Figure 3. An example of an acoustic profile, acquired along the northern coast of the Istria Peninsula, which is correlated with the core AIII-7 from Ogorelec et al. (1997) (SF: seafloor, H: Holocene marine sediment, BH: reflector that marks the base of the Holocene sediment, LP: Late Pleistocene sediment, BLP: base of the Late Pleistocene sediment, F: flysch, TWT: two-way travel time). For the location of the profile, see Fig. 5.

Figure 4. Locations of the datasets used for the bathymetric model of the Gulf of Trieste.

Reported conventional radiocarbon ages from published core/well data (Table 1) show that Holocene marine sediment started depositing on the alluvial sedimentary sequence approximately 9150 years BP in the Gulf of Trieste. The Holocene marine sequence started depositing later where it overlies paralic sequences for which conventional radiocarbon ages younger than approximately 8800 years BP are reported (Table 1). The reported radiocarbon ages in Table 1 clearly show that the alluvial- or paralic-Holocene marine boundary in the Gulf of Trieste is diachronous.

Geophysical datasets along with previously published core/well data allowed us to create a model of the distribution and thickness of Holocene marine sediment in the Italian and Slovenian parts of the gulf. The different datasets and methods used to create each model are described in detail in the subsequent subsections.

2.1 The bathymetric model

In order to create the bathymetric model of the Gulf of Trieste we used multibeam and singlebeam sonar data complemented with high-resolution single-channel seismic data (boomer and Chirp profiles) (Fig. 4, Tables 2 and 3). In addition, water depths of 28 points in the Gulf of Panzano were
determined from the Slovenian nautical chart of the Gulf of Trieste (Ministry of Transport of the Republic of Slovenia, 2005) and the Da Punta Tagliamento a Pula nautical chart (Istituto Idrografico della Marina, 2004). We also used depths of published cores/wells in the gulf (Table 1, Fig. 2). The used datasets are depicted in Fig. 4. In order to constrain the model, we used the coastline contour for which we assumed a seafloor depth value equal to 0 m above sea level.

Multibeam sonar data included data acquired during the reflection seismic surveys in the central and Slovenian parts of the gulf in the years 2009 and 2013 (Table 2). In addition, a multibeam-based bathymetric model of Slovenian territorial waters (Slavec, 2012) acquired by Harpha Sea d.o.o. was also used. Smaller areas surveyed by OGS by multibeam sonar also include three areas in front of the GradoMarano lagoon: the Porto Buso inlet, Morgo inlet and Grado inlet (Figs. 1 and 4, Table 2).

Singlebeam sonar data were acquired along the coast of the Tagliamento delta between the Baseleghe and Lignano inlets, from the Morgo inlet to the Marina Julia beach and in a dense grid in front of the Porto Buso inlet (Figs. 1 and 4, Table 2).

Single-channel seismic and acoustic data were acquired in various surveys (Table 2 and Fig. 4). Interpretation of the geophysical profiles was done by means of the IHS Kingdom® software. We used seismic and acoustic profiles to determine the seafloor depth where singlebeam or multibeam bathymetric data were not acquired. The seismic and acoustic profiles used to create the bathymetric model stretch over a large part of the western part of the gulf, offshore Grado, southeast of Miramare and in the Bay of Muggia (Figs. 1 and 4).

The multibeam and singlebeam sonar data coupled with the interpreted depths of the seafloor from acoustic and seismic profiles were imported in the SKUA-GOCAD™ Paradigm software package in order to perform quality control and consequent adjustments to the dataset. In order to convert the seismic and acoustic data from the time to the depth domain, 1514 m s\(^{-1}\) was assumed as the velocity of sound in the water column. This value represented the average value of sound velocity profiler measurements acquired together with the datasets used in this study. After the conversion gridding of the bathymetric model was done with the discrete smooth interpolation (DSI) method (Mallet, 1992, 1997) in the SKUA-GOCAD™ software package. The coordinates of the pointsets building the model were clipped to the coastline extent and later exported as a column-based text file and a geotiff grid with a 50 m \(\times\) 50 m cell size. The resulting model contained some areas with negative depth values that resulted from lack of geophysical data in the near proximity of the coast. In addition, the morphologically very dynamic northern part of the research area starting from the Isonzo mouth towards the west also contained negative depth values due to transient sedimentary bodies elevated above or just below the sea level that were present at the time of
the various surveys but were not delineated by the coastline shapefile used to clip the dataset. In order to still represent these dynamic shallow areas while eliminating artificial negative depths from our dataset, we replaced all the negative values in the geotiff grid and the column-based text file with a depth value of 0.2 m.

### 2.2 Model of the base of the Holocene marine sediment

Seismic (boomer) and acoustic data (Chirp and parametric sub-bottom sonar) were used in order to create a model of the base of the Holocene marine sediment in the Gulf of Trieste (Fig. 5 and Table 3). In general, the used profiles span throughout the Gulf of Trieste but are also located in the inner parts of the Grado Lagoon and Bay of Muggia, and in the Bay of Koper (Fig. 5). The base of the marine Holocene sediment was determined from reflection characteristics visible from profiles that were interpreted by means of the IHS Kingdom® software and calibrated with the cores listed in Table 1. In general, the base of the Holocene marine sediment on geophysical profiles can be recognized as a prominent reflector underlying the acoustically transparent marine sediment (Figs. 3 and 8; Slavec, 2011, 2012; Trobec, 2015; Trobec et al., 2017). Additionally, depths of the base of Holocene marine sediment determined from offshore and onshore well and core data from Italy and Slovenia were included in the model and are shown in Table 1 and Figs. 2 and 5.

This dataset was imported, quality controlled and adjusted in the SKUA-GOCAD™ software package. A velocity of 1530 m s\(^{-1}\) was assumed for the velocity of sound travelling through the Holocene marine sediment and used for the conversion of seismic and acoustic data from the time to depth domain. Afterwards, gridding of the model of the base of the Holocene was done in the SKUA-GOCAD™ software package with the DSI method. Even though the sound velocity of the sediment overlying the flysch in the Gulf of Trieste was determined at 1610 m s\(^{-1}\) (Masoli et al., 2015), we decided for a lower value because Masoli et al. (2015) considered a Late Pleistocene–Holocene sedimentary sequence a few tens of metres thick where compaction most probably already affected the velocity value. Considering (a) that the typical sound velocity of a marine water saturated sediment is approximately 1500 m s\(^{-1}\) (Anderson and Hampton, 1980; Yuan et al., 1992), (b) that the average value of sound velocity profiler measurements acquired together with the datasets used in this study is 1514 m s\(^{-1}\), and (c) that the Holocene marine sediment thickness in our study is an order of magnitude smaller than the sediment considered in Masoli et al. (2015) leads us to believe that our chosen velocity is reasonable for our conversion. The coordinates of the pointsets building the model were clipped to the coastline extent and later exported as a column-based text file and a geotiff grid with a 50 m × 50 m cell size.
2.3 Modelling the thickness of Holocene marine sediment

A model of the thickness of Holocene marine sediment in the Gulf of Trieste was created with the SKUA-GOCAD™ software. In order to create the model, the difference between the bathymetric model and the model of the base of the Holocene was calculated. Data used to create the model of the base of the Holocene spanned over a smaller area compared to the bathymetric model (Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7). In areas where data coverage was insufficient or even absent (grey areas bounded by black dashed lines in Fig. 5), we used data from the Carta degli spessori dei sistemi di stazionamento alto (HST) published by Trincardi et al. (2011b), for which we assumed 1.530 m s\(^{-1}\) as the velocity of sound travelling through the sediment. We excluded the areas inside the Grado-Marano lagoon from the model due to dubious interpretation of geophysical profiles (signal reverberation) resulting from shallow seafloor depth and because these Holocene sediments were deposited in a lagoonal rather than marine sedimentary environment. The coordinates of the pointsets building the model were clipped to the coastline extent and later exported as a column-based text file and a geotiff grid with a 50 m × 50 m cell size. The model contained negative thickness values in areas of erosion (e.g. Fig. 8e) and in the western part of the research area, where Holocene marine sediment is very thin or even absent and is therefore very difficult to model. In this area thicker sedimentary sequences can seldom be found only as infill of Late Pleistocene channels (Fig. 8a and c). When modelling such geometries, the base of the Holocene surface tends to rise above the seafloor outside the channel as a convex more or less pronounced bulge. This in turn results in artificial negative thickness values. In order to honour the data, we replaced all the negative values in the geotiff grid and the column-based text file with a thickness value of zero.

3 Results

3.1 Bathymetry of the Gulf of Trieste

The bathymetry of the seabed of the Gulf of Trieste has a generally smooth morphology. Depth values in our model vary between 0.2 and 32.6 m below sea level with a mean of 16.2 m and standard deviation of 6.2 (Fig. 6). In the southeastern and eastern parts of the gulf depth exceeds the 20 m isobath approximately 4 km away from the shore at most, while in the northern and northwestern parts the distance needed is approximately twice as much (but can reach up to 20 km).
The Trezza Grande paleodelta (Gordini et al., 2002; Zecchin et al., 2015) and the dune-shaped features NW of Piran (Slavec, 2012) are topographically higher areas visible in Fig. 6. The deepest feature on the bathymetric model is the elongated Cape Madona depression stretching in a SW–NE direction north of Piran (Fig. 6), which also represents the deepest point of the Gulf of Trieste, with a depth of 38 m (Ministry of Transport of the Republic of Slovenia, 2005; Slavec, 2012). A deeper area where depths exceed 25 m is located north of Piran and west of the Bay of Muggia.

3.2 Base of the Holocene marine sediment

Contrary to the smoothness of the bathymetric model, the model of the base of the Holocene marine sediment presents rougher morphologies (Fig. 7), which are evident also from Figs. 3 and 8. The model of the base of the Holocene is located between 6.6 and 31.0 m below sea level with a mean of 19.8 m and a standard deviation of 5.7. In general, the model can be divided into two parts, the shallower northwestern part and the deeper southeastern part (Fig. 7). This difference in depth is also evident from geophysical profiles (Fig. 8a and b) and core data (Fig. 2).

The northwestern part is shallower than 20 m and in general rises as we approach the coastline. Local topographical highs are located in the southern part of the Trezza Grande area (Fig. 7). In places the model exhibits channel-like features that are a few metres deeper than the surrounding topography, but their exact course is difficult to map due to insufficient profile coverage.

The base of Holocene marine sediment in the southeastern part of the Gulf of Trieste ranges between 0 and 24.0 m with a mean thickness of 3.2 m and a standard deviation of 2.8. In general, the inner parts of the Gulf are covered by very thin drapes of Holocene marine sediment that can also be partially absent (Figs. 9, 8a and b). Towards the shore, the Holocene sedimentary sequence gradually thickens and appears as a coastal sedimentary wedge that can exceed thicknesses of 10 m near the coastline and in the internal parts of bays.

The map of the thickness of the Holocene marine sediment in the Gulf of Trieste can be divided into two parts, the central–western part with thinner marine sequences and the southeastern part of the Gulf with thicker Holocene marine sediments (Fig. 9). This prominent difference is clearly evident from geophysical profiles spanning through a larger part of the Gulf (Fig. 8a and b) and from a simplified W–E stratigraphic profile from the published cores (Fig. 2).
The central–western part of the gulf is characterized by a very thin Holocene sedimentary cover which rarely exceeds a thickness of 3 m (Figs. 9, 8a and b). Where the thin sediments are not well resolved or even unresolvable with the high-resolution geophysical methods used in this study, we assume they are absent or only a few centimetres thick. Thicker Holocene sedimentary sequences can be observed only in the vicinity of the Tagliamento delta (Figs. 9, 3 and 4 from Zecchin et al., 2015), the Trezza Grande paleodelta (Figs. 2 and 9; Figs. 4 and 5 from Zecchin et al., 2015) and in the central part of the bay where thicker sedimentary sequences fill pre-Holocene paleochannels (Figs. 9, 7, 8a, b and c).

The southeastern part of the gulf is characterized by a thicker sedimentary cover which averages at about 5 m (Figs. 9, 2, 8a and b). Further examination reveals that in some places in close proximity to the shoreline the Holocene sedimentary cover often thins out or is unresolvable in geophysical profiles (for example near Debeli rtič, Fig. 8d). This effect is a consequence of basement rock (predominantly flysch) outcropping and/or subcropping near the seafloor. In the Cape Madona depression in front of Piran (Fig. 6) the marine Holocene sedimentary sequence is not recognizable due to erosion which can also be identified on geophysical profiles down to the Late Pleistocene sediment (Fig. 8e). In addition to thick marine Holocene sedimentary deposits in the internal parts of bays (Figs. 9 and 2), thicker sedimentary sequences can be observed over buried paleochannels (Figs. 9, 8a, b and c; Slavec, 2012; Trobec, 2015, Trobec et al., 2017) and in the area of the dune-shaped features NW of Piran (Figs. 6, 8f and 9).

4 Model quality assessment

While our work was done with great care and attention to detail in order to produce accurate results, we would like to emphasize that the model is primarily intended to illustrate the general trend of the thickness of Holocene marine sediment in the Gulf of Trieste and cannot account for strong local thickness variations. This is a result of several factors that are described in the following section.

As mentioned in Sects. 3.2 and 3.3, the surface of the base of the Holocene marine sediment can be very undulated due to the pre-existing paleotopography and/or due to subcropping or outcropping of the basement rock on the seafloor near the eastern and southern coastlines (Fig. 8d). Combined with areas where density of acoustic and seismic profiles is not adequate, this undulation can lead to artefacts that are later outstretched throughout the model of the base of the Holocene and consequently affect the values of the model of the thickness. Discrepancies of the map with the natural state are also a result of the gridding algorithm used (Mallet, 1992, 1997). Due to the inherent two-way travel time attribute of the acquired data in the case of geophysical profiles, major influencing factors on the models are the chosen velocity for the conversion to the time domain and the local sound velocity variations in the Holocene sediment which are unknown. As there are no measurements of speed of sound travelling solely through the Holocene sediment of the Gulf of Trieste, we used a conservative approximation of the speed of sound travelling through the sedimentary column, which could lead to systematic underestimation of the
Figure 8. Examples of sub-bottom and Chirp sonar profiles (for explanation of the symbols, refer to the caption of Fig. 3); (a–b) regional Chirp profiles show that the Late Pleistocene paleotopography forms a basin in the eastern part which serves as a depocentre for the Holocene marine sediment (dashed blue line – base of the Holocene sediment where the sedimentary sequence is very thin or even absent or eroded); (a) a W–E oriented profile showing a difference in marine Holocene thickness in the western and eastern parts of the gulf. Note that thicker Holocene marine sequences in the western part of the gulf are filling channel-like features; (b) a NNW–SSE oriented profile showing the same difference in Holocene marine thickness; (c–f) variations of the thickness of marine Holocene sediment; (c) a profile from the central part of the gulf, where a thin Holocene marine sediment cover is characteristic, except where it fills pre-existing channels; (d) variation of Holocene sediment thickness controlled by the pre-existing Flysch paleotopography in the area of Debeli rtić; (e) Holocene sediment erosion in the seafloor depression in front of Piran (Fig. 6); note also Holocene filling of pre-existing channels; (f) thicker Holocene sedimentary sequences visible on a profile crossing the area of dunes in front of Piran (Fig. 6). For locations of the profiles, see Fig. 5.
thickness throughout the whole study area. Due to a lack of sound velocity measurements we also assumed a model without velocity variations. Finally, due to scarce cores/wells, the presence of marine sediment in the Holocene sedimentary sequence was assessed mostly by following visual criteria when investigating geophysical profiles. We considered the acoustically transparent Holocene seismic facies to represent sediment primarily deposited in a marine environment. In order to avoid including predominantly paralic Holocene sediment in the model, we excluded the Grado-Marano lagoon area from the model of the thickness of Holocene marine sediment.

The first opportunity to test the quality of our model was presented by two 1.5 m long cores composed entirely of Holocene marine sediment (Mautner et al., 2018; Tomašových et al., 2017) that were published after the creation of our dataset. The cores M28 and M53 were collected in the Bay of Panzano and NW of Piran. In both locations the modelled thicknesses of the Holocene marine sequence exceed 1.5 m, which demonstrates the quality of our model and the potential of our dataset for planning of future core collecting campaigns.

5 Data availability

An important moment of the data lifecycle is that when observations are shared with other team members or domain experts in general. This introduces the possibility of replicating the experiment, of re-using the data in other contexts, and of providing data with additional value. OGS is deeply involved in the curation and dissemination of its data assets and has in this perspective developed a web-based data system called SNAP (Diviacco et al., 2015; Diviacco and Busato, 2013) which allows the contained data to be findable, accessible, interoperable and reusable (FAIR). The system is based on fully compliant OGC O&M and SensorML metadata and offers previewing facilities that allow remote data access and download once the end user has checked whether they are what he/she is looking for. DOIs are assigned to the datasets residing in the system so that they can be directly accessed resolving the DOIs or simply following the corresponding URLs. In the case of the models used in this study, they are accessible at the following URL: http://doi.org/cpz2. This points to a landing page which has been built following the standards set in the UNESCO Ocean data Publication Cookbook (Leadbetter et al., 2013), and offers further metadata details and an interactive preview of the dataset.

The data described in this study (Trobec and Busetti, 2017) are available as column-based text files containing data points with \( X \), \( Y \) and \( Z/\text{thickness} \) coordinates in the UTM 33 North coordinate system (datum: WGS 84). In order to facilitate their use (especially with GIS software) these datasets are also available as 50 \( \times \) 50 m elevation grids clipped to the extent of the coastline in the widely compatible geotiff format along with georeferencing information (the \*.proj and \*.tfw files). Depth contours of the models are also available in the shapefile format.
6 Conclusions

Together with the published datasets (http://doi.org/cpz2), this paper represents the second assessment of the thickness of Holocene marine sediment in a major part of the Gulf of Trieste, employing new datasets from a wide range of different geophysical methods and published sedimentological data from wells and cores. Geophysical profiles along with core/well data show a basin in the Late Pleistocene topography in the southeastern part of the gulf that served as a depocentre for the significantly thicker Holocene marine sedimentary sequences compared to the rest of the gulf. While the exact cause of the basin formation exceeds the scope of this paper, we suspect it represents an interplay between different tectonic, sedimentologic, climatic and oceanographic factors that need to be further examined in order to better understand the distribution of Holocene marine sediment in the Gulf of Trieste. Additionally, thicker Holocene marine sequences can be observed above paleochannels, in the Trezza Grande area and in the internal parts of bays and in close proximity to the shoreline, where the thickness is probably governed by increased amounts of available sediment transported by rivers and surface run-off from rocky coast of the southeastern part and due to increased amounts of fluvially transported sediment from the alluvial plains of the northern and northwestern coasts of the gulf (Covelli et al., 2004; Ogorelec et al., 1991; Mandac Soczka and Faganeli, 2015). In the central part of the gulf the Holocene marine sequences are very thin or even absent (unresolvable on geophysical profiles).

Our work provides a solid reference for a wide variety of disciplines involved in future studies of Holocene sediment in the area, especially regarding sampling sites and/or survey selection. Furthermore, the thickness model provides important implications for further sedimentological, geomorphological, paleoenvironmental, neotectonic and oceanographic studies of the Gulf of Trieste.
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